## United States District Court

## Eastern District of Missouri

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

V

## ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL

DA	RRO	N K. HUMPHREY	Case Number: 4:05CR0331JCH
		Defendant	
dete		cordance with the Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. §31 of the defendant pending trial in this case.	142(f) a detention hearing has been held. I conclude that the following facts require the
	(I)	The defendant is charged with an offense described offense that would have been a federal of a crime of violence as defined in 18 U.S. an offense for which the maximum sentence.	
		a falony that was committed after the	defendant had been convicted of two or more prior federal offenses described in
	(2)	18 U.S.C. §3142(f)(1)(A)-(C), or compar	
	(3)		d since the (date of conviction) (release of the defendant from imprisonment) for the
	(4)		ttable presumption that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably e community. I further find that the defendant has not rebutted this presumption.
			Alternative Findings (A)
$\boxtimes$	(1)	There is probable cause to believe that the defe for which a maximum term of imprison under 18 U.S.C. §924(c).	endant has committed an offense ment of ten years or more is prescribed in 21 U.S.C. §§ 841(a)(1) & 841(b)(1)(B)(iii)
$\boxtimes$	(2)	The defendant has not rebutted the presumpt	ion established by finding 1 that no condition or combination of conditions will dant as required and the safety of the community.
			Alternative Findings (B)
$\sqsubseteq$	(1)	There is a serious risk that the defendant will i	not appear.
$\boxtimes$	(2)		endanger the safety of another person or the community.
		Neither party had any objections to the inf 2005, which the Court adopts and incorporate in the court adopts and incorporate in the court adopts and incorporate in the court adopts are consistent in the court adopts and incorporate in the court adopts are consistent in the court adopts and incorporate in the court adopts are consistent in the court adopts and incorporate in the court adopts are consistent in the court adopts and incorporate in the court adopts are consistent in the court adopts and incorporate in the court adopts are consistent in the court adopts and incorporate in the court adopts and incorporate in the court adopts are consistent in the court adopts and incorporate in the court adopts are consistent in the court adopts and incorporate in the court adopts are consistent in the court adopts and incorporate in the court adopts are consistent in the court adopts and incorporate in the court adopts are consistent in the court adopts and incorporate in the court adopts are consistent in the court adopts and incorporate in the court adopts are consistent in the court adopts and incorporate in the court adopts are consistent in the court adopts and incorporate in the court adopts are consistent in the court adopts and incorporate in the court adopts are consistent in the court adopts and incorporate in the court adopts are consistent in the court adopts and incorporate in the court adopts are consistent in the court adopts and incorporate in the court adopts are consistent in the court adopts and incorporate in the court adopts are consistent and incorporate in the court adopts and incorporate in the court adop	Formation contained in the Pretrial Services Report (PSR) dated June 28,
		2005, which the court deopts and meorpo	tutes nerem.
I find	l that	Part II - Writte the credible testimony and information submi	
Dof	an don	<u> </u>	
			that there is no condition or combination of conditions that will adequately munity, for the reasons set forth in the PSR. Defendant has several prior
			ses. In addition, Defendant has previously violated the terms of his parole and
has	comm	nitted new offenses while on probation or parc	ole.
facil fend on re	ity seg ant sh equest	lefendant is committed to the custody of the At parate, to the extent practicable, from persons all be afforded a reasonable opportunity for private	- Directions Regarding Detention ttorney General or his designated representative for confinement in a corrections awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending appeal. The devate consultation with defense counsel. On order of a court of the United States or in charge of the corrections facility shall deliver the defendant to the United States with a court proceeding.
Date	d: <u>J</u> ւ	une 30, 2005	/s/ Audrey G. Fleissig
			Signature of Judicial Officer
			Audrey G. Fleissig, United States Magistrate Judge
			Name and Title of Judicial Officer

<sup>\*</sup>Insert as applicable: (a) Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. 5801 et seq.); (b) Controlled Substances Import and Export Act (21 U.S.C. §951 et seq.); or (c) Section I of Act of Sept. 15, 1980 (21 U.S.C. §955a).

	Page _	 Of
DEFENDANT: DARRON K. HUMPHREY		
CASE NUMBER: 4:05CR0331JCH		

Continued

AO 472 (Rev. 3/86) Order of Detention Pending Trial